

Harrison J. Frahn IV (SBN 206822)
hfrahn@stblaw.com
SIMPSON THACHER & BARTLETT LLP
2550 Hanover Street
Palo Alto, CA 94304
Telephone: (650) 251-5000
Facsimile: (650) 251-5002

*Attorneys for Defendants
Chimei Innolux Corporation,
Chi Mei Optoelectronics USA, Inc., and
CMO Japan Co., Ltd.*

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

IN RE: TFT-LCD (FLAT PANEL)
ANTITRUST LITIGATION

CASE NO. 3:10-cv-03517-SI

MDL NO. 3:07-md-1827-SI

This Document Relates to Individual
Case No. 3:10-cv-03517-SI

**STIPULATION AND ~~PROPOSED~~
ORDER REGARDING TIME TO
RESPOND TO AMENDED COMPLAINT**

STATE OF FLORIDA,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

AU OPTRONICS CORPORATION, *et al.*,

Defendants.

The undersigned counsel, on behalf of their respective clients, hereby respectfully request an extension of the deadline for Defendants Chimei Innolux Corporation (f/k/a Chi Mei Optoelectronics Corporation), Chi Mei Optoelectronics USA, Inc., and CMO Japan Co., Ltd. (collectively, the “Chi Mei Defendants”), and Defendants Hitachi, Ltd., Hitachi Displays, Ltd., and Hitachi Electronic Devices (USA), Inc. (collectively, the “Hitachi Defendants”), to respond to the

1 amended complaint filed by Plaintiff State of Florida on April 13, 2011, in the above-captioned
2 litigation (the "Amended Complaint").

3 WHEREAS the Chi Mei Defendants and Hitachi Defendants, jointly with other Defendants
4 in this action, filed a motion to dismiss the Amended Complaint on May 20, 2011;

5 WHEREAS the Court entered an order denying Defendants' joint motion to dismiss the
6 Amended Complaint on September 15, 2011;

7 WHEREAS on September 29, 2011, the Court entered an order on extending Defendants'
8 deadline to answer the Amended Complaint to October 28, 2011;

9 WHEREAS on October 26, 2011, the Court entered an order on extending the Chi Mei and
10 Hitachi Defendants' deadline to answer the Amended Complaint to November 11, 2011;

11 WHEREAS Plaintiff State of Florida and the Chi Mei Defendants have agreed to a
12 settlement in principle of the above-captioned litigation;

13 WHEREAS Plaintiff State of Florida and the Hitachi Defendants have agreed to a
14 settlement in principle of the above-captioned litigation, subject to board approval;

15 WHEREAS the parties would benefit from additional time to continue to negotiate the
16 terms of that settlement;

17 WHEREAS extending the time for the Chi Mei Defendants and Hitachi Defendants to
18 answer the Amended Complaint would not alter the date of any other event or deadline already
19 fixed by the Court;

20 THEREFORE, Plaintiff State of Florida and the Chi Mei Defendants and Hitachi
21 Defendants, by their respective counsel, stipulate and agree as follows:

22 The Chi Mei Defendants and Hitachi Defendants will have until December 9, 2011 to
23 answer the Amended Complaint.
24
25
26
27
28

1 Dated: November 9, 2011

Respectfully submitted,

2 OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE STATE
3 OF FLORIDA

4 By: : /s/ Nicholas J. Weilhammer
Nicholas J. Weilhammer

5
6 R. Scott Palmer
Lizabeth A. Brady
7 Nicholas J. Weilhammer (*pro hac vice*)
Eli Friedman
8 Office of the Attorney General
State of Florida
9 PL-01, The Capitol
Tallahassee, FL 32399-1050
10 (850) 414-3300 / (850) 488-9134
11 nicholas.weilhammer@myfloridalegal.com

12 SIMPSON THACHER & BARTLETT LLP

13
14 By: /s/ Harrison J. Frahn IV
Harrison J. Frahn IV

15 Harrison J. Frahn IV (SBN 206822)
16 hfrahn@stblaw.com
SIMPSON THACHER & BARTLETT LLP
17 2550 Hanover Street
Palo Alto, CA 94304
18 Telephone: (650) 251-5000
Facsimile: (650) 251-5002

19 *Attorneys for Defendants*
20 *Chimei Innolux Corporation,*
21 *Chi Mei Optoelectronics USA, Inc., and*
CMO Japan Co., Ltd.

MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP

By: : /s/ Kent M. Roger
/s/ Kent M. Roger

Kent M. Roger (SBN 95987)
One Market, Spear Street Tower
San Francisco, California 94105
Telephone: (415) 442-1001
Facsimile: (415) 442-1001

*Attorney for Defendants Hitachi, Ltd., Hitachi
Displays, Ltd., and Hitachi Electronic Devices (USA), Inc.*

1 Pursuant to General Order 45, Part X-B, the filer attests that concurrence in the filing of this
2 document has been obtained from all parties whose signatures are indicated by a “confirmed”
3 signature (/s/) within this e-filed document.

4
5 Dated: November 9, 2011


/s/ Harrison J. Frahn IV

6 Harrison J. Frahn IV (SBN 206822)
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Having considered the foregoing stipulation, and for good cause appearing, IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 11/15, 2011

By 
HON. SUSAN ILLSTON
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE